# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

Jessica Klick,	: 3:17-cv-22-TCB : Civil Action No.:
Plaintiff, v.	: : :
Discover Card Services, Inc.,	: : COMPLAINT : JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendant.	: : :

For this Complaint, Plaintiff, Jessica Klick, by undersigned counsel, states as follows:

### **JURISDICTION**

- 1. This action arises out of Defendant's repeated violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act 47 U.S.C. § 227, *et seq.* ("TCPA").
- 2. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that Defendant transacts business in this District and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

## **PARTIES**

3. Plaintiff, Jessica Klick ("Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Temple, Georgia, and is a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).

4. Defendant Discover Card Services, Inc. ("Discover"), is an Ohio business entity with an address of 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801, and is a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).

#### **FACTS**

- 5. Within the last year, Discover began placing calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone, number 470-xxx-8196, using an automatic telephone dialing system ("ATDS").
- 6. When Plaintiff answered calls from Discover, she heard silence and had to wait on the line before she was connected to the next available representative.
- 7. On September 6, 2016, Plaintiff faxed Discover a letter requesting that all calls to her cellular telephone number cease.
- 8. Nevertheless, Discover continued to place automated calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number.
- 9. Discover's calls directly interfered with Plaintiff's right to peacefully enjoy a service for which she paid, and caused Plaintiff a significant amount of anxiety, frustration and annoyance.

## <u>COUNT I</u> <u>VIOLATIONS OF THE TCPA – 47 U.S.C. § 227, et. seq.</u>

- 10. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 11. At all times mentioned herein, Defendant called Plaintiff's cellular telephone number using an ATDS or predictive dialer.
- Communications Commission ("FCC") defines a predictive dialer as "a dialing system that automatically dials consumers' telephone numbers in a manner that "predicts" the time when a consumer will answer the phone and a [representative] will be available to take the call..."2003 TCPA Order, 18 FCC 36 Rcd 14022. The FCC explains that if a representative is not "free to take a call that has been placed by a predictive dialer, the consumer answers the phone only to hear 'dead air' or a dial tone, causing frustration." *Id.* In addition, the TCPA places prohibitions on companies that "abandon" calls by setting "the predictive dialers to ring for a very short period of time before disconnecting the call; in such cases, the predictive dialer does not record the call as having been abandoned." *Id.*
- 13. Defendant's telephone system(s) have some earmarks of a predictive dialer.

- 14. When Plaintiff answered calls from Defendant, she heard silence before Defendant's telephone system would connect her to the next available representative.
- 15. Defendant's predictive dialers have the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator.
- 16. Defendant placed automated calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number despite knowing that it lacked consent to do so. As such, each call placed to Plaintiff was made in knowing and/or willful violation of the TCPA, and subject to treble damages pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).
- 17. The telephone number called by Defendant was and is assigned to a cellular telephone service for which Plaintiff incurs charges pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).
- 18. Plaintiff was annoyed, harassed and inconvenienced by Defendant's continued calls.
- 19. The calls from Defendant to Plaintiff were not placed for "emergency purposes" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(i).
- 20. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages for each call in violation of the TCPA pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).

21. As a result of each call made in knowing and/or willful violation of the TCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of treble damages in an amount up to \$1,500.00 pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendant:

- A. Statutory damages of \$500.00 for each violation determined to be negligent pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B);
- B. Treble damages for each violation determined to be willful and/or knowing pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C); and
- C. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

#### TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: February 13, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Sergei Lemberg, Esq.
Attorney Bar No.: 598666
Attorney for Plaintiff Jessica Klick
LEMBERG LAW, L.L.C.
43 Danbury Road, 3rd Floor
Wilton, CT 06897
Telephone: (202) 652-2250 est. 556

Telephone: (203) 653-2250 ext. 5500

Facsimile: (203) 653-3424

Email: slemberg@lemberglaw.com